

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Address:

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE 5925-061-999 APPLICATION NO. R ZAMBIAS 01/20/98 09/009,846 **EXAMINER** HM22/0420 PONNALURI, P PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT

PENNIE & EDMONDS 1155 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK NY 10036-2711

1627

DATE MAILED:

04/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| 1 1 | |
|------|---|
| W 1. | |
| 'h' | |
| 4 | _ |

Application No.

Applications)

09/009,846

Zambias et al

Group Art Unit



| Advisory Action | Examiner | Group Art Unit | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------|--|
| Advisory Action | P. Ponnaluri | 1627 | | |
| | | | THERMAL | |
| and a shift of hill | | | | |
| THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)] | the final rejection. | | | |
| a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever expires either three months from the mailing date of the final expires either three months from the mailing date of the final expires either three months from the date of the final is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | |
| b) X expires either three months from the mailing date of the | ne final rejection, or on the later than si od for the response expire later than si | x months from the d | ate of the ilital | |
| is later. If no oversy | | Lab a appre | priate fee. The | |
| is later. In no event, nowever, the rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition un | der 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed to an filed is the date of the response an | d also the date for th | e purposes of | |
| rejection. Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition un date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have be determining the period of extension and the corresponding and determining the period of the originally set shortened statut | nount of the fee. Any extension fee properties for response or as set forth | in b) above. | , , , , , , , | |
| determining the period of the originally set shortened statut | ory period for respense | | (or within any | |
| Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of | Con 27 CFR 1 191(d) and 3 | 7 CFR 1.192(a). | } | |
| Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of period for response set forth above, whichever is lat Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on | en, see of considering the seen considering | ered with the follo | wing effect, | |
| Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on | for allowance: | | | |
| Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition | 101 Bhotte.iee | | | |
| · | | | | |
| | and an Appear Brief. | | | |
| | | (See note below) |). | |
| (N) thou raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or some | | | | |
| they raise the issue of new matter. (See no | te below). | asially raducing O | r simplifying the | |
| they raise the issue of new matter. (See notthey are not deemed to place the application | in better form for appeal by ma | terially reducing of | , G, G | |
| issues for appeal. | and a number of | finally rejected cla | aims. | |
| issues for appeal. they present additional claims without cance | elling a corresponding number of | nd art rejections. | | |
| they present additional claims without cance NOTE: the newly added limitations would raise | e new 35 USC 112, rejections, a | to art rejections | | |
| MOTE. MOTE. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | f aubmitted in a | |
| and as amonded claims | wo | uld be allowable i | 1 Submitted in a | |
| Newly proposed of afficience diameters and the respect to the resp | ion-allowable claims. | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition | | | | |
| The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsiderate | | | | |
| for allowance because: | | | | |
| | " ALL COLELY | to issues which v | vere newly raised by | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by | ecause it is not directed SULELT | (0 133003 ******************************* | | |
| the Examiner in the final rejection. | | en explanation, if | any): | |
| No For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims | is as follows (see attached with | ell explanation | | |
| | | | | |
| Oldmire The Control of the Control o | | | | |
| Claims objected to: <u>NONE</u> Claims rejected: <u>1-7, 10-13, and 16-25</u> | | | | |
| Claims rejected. 177, 75 75, | □has □has | not been approve | d by the Examiner. | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on | | | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction that the Disclosure Stater ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Stater | nent(s), PTU-1449, Paper NO(s). | 106 | | |
| | | • , | 2N 1 ΔN | |
| Other | | KEITH D. MASN PRIMARY EXAI | | |
| | | PRIBINATEAS. | 10101 0 mm 4 | |
| | | | | |